

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,287	09/28/2000	Keiko Matsubara	40589/DBP/Y35	8798
23363	7590 12/27/2002			
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			EXAMINER	
350 WEST C SUITE 500	OLORADO BOULEVARD)	YUAN, DA	AH WEI D
PASADENA, CA 91105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER /2
			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 12/27/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/672,287	MATSUBARA ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the c rrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2002 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	d to avoid abandonment of this ner: (1) a timely filed amendmen Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in
PERIOD FO	OR REPLY [check either a) or t	p)]
a) $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	ing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPL 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(after have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the free under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	expire later than SIX MONTHS from the LY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHA. a). The date on which the petition undeperiod of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after	ne mailing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	ered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal b	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without on NOTE:	anceling a corresponding numl	ber of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):	*
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted	I in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requesion in condition for allowance because		n considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		DLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amene explanation of how the new or amended class	• • •	•
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as for	llows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 9-11 and 13-15.		
Claim(s) objected to: 6.		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7 and 8.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_ ·	
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	atement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)
10. Other:		Pan Muney
	(CAROL CHANEY
		MARY EXAMINER
		12-26-02

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration and declaration filed on 12/17/02, respectively, are fully considered, but not persuasive. Ther term "agglomerate" is understood as "group of particles that are weakly bonded together via electrostatic, magnetic, Van der Waals, or capillary adhesion forces. Powder aggolomates are clearly evident in both Figures (b) (based on teaching of Goda reference) and (c) (based on example 1 in the current specification). Therefore, the recitation of "particle-aggolomerated product" does not provide patentable distinction over the Goda reference.